

*Paper prepared for the
Third Euroacademia International Conference
Re-Inventing Eastern Europe*

Berlin, 28 – 29 March 2014

This paper is a draft

Please do not cite

Slavko Kulić, prof.dr.sc.

Director

Institute on World Problems – for Europe

10000 Zagreb, Croatia

skulic@iowp.eu

**The Third Euroacademia international Conference: Re-inventing Eastern Europe,
28-29.03.2014.**

**Title: Geobiosocial instead of gebiopolitical re-inventing of Europe
– new approach to the sociology of Europe**

Summary

Re-inventing is necessary, for the integrality of life in Europe, for the coexistence of nations, in the, until now, divided Europe. It is needed instead of the concept of coexistence of political and financial oligarchy of pro-stalinistic and pro-fascistic type. Europe needs re-inventing after the collapse of the Berlin wall and newly built Schengen wall.

Instead of re-inventing inside of the gebiopolitical type of understanding of existence and life development in Europe, geobiosocial type of understanding of existence and life development is necessary. Instead of the closed type and anthropocentrism, the open type of Europe with the ecocentric system of values is necessary: concept of cooperation instead of the concept of conflict between the countries in Europe, particularly among the nations from the West with the nations from the Eastern Europe. Instead of the colonisation of eastern European countries (old concept of western European countries expansion), coexistence is required, cooperation as the basic principle of evolution (cultural, political, economic, legal, social, and security aspect). Europa is peninsula of Asia. Therefore, it seems natural to think about re-inventing euro-asian cooperation. Except the value orientation – ecocentrism as the fundamental concept of coexistence of nations in Europe, new understanding of the concept of “democracy” is necessary. Constructive anthropology in education is needed, for the purpose of existence. Instead of the process of dehumanisation in Europe (exclusion of millions of people from the labour and life in the society), the humanisation process in Europe is needed – as in Eastern Europe, as much as in Western Europe. Re-inventing is necessary for the construction of Europe instead of its destruction. Eastern Europe can not be the periphery of the Western Europe, in the function of submission of the global capitalism system; old concept in the new form of the ultimatum of Western Europe towards Eastern Europe; EU-Directives demand re-inventing of the colonisation (attaching to the Western Europe, based on the power gained between the first and twenty-first centuries. Europe has been trying, from the position of the mighty, to unite five times during the last twenty centuries. It has not succeeded. It will not succeed even the 6th time due to same reason – superiority of one nation and one state.

That is a serious demand for Europe. Re-inventing “yes”, for the new civilizational order in Europe – horizontal instead of vertical dissemination of power: new understanding of the concept of democracy and freedom; constructive instead of destructive anthropology.

Euroacademia should free itself from the limited and narrow thinking: creationism and evolutionism. Creationism can not be validated, and evolutionism is unacceptable because it offers violence as the development form. Intellect of the Euroacademia can do that, through education instead of schooling. Europe asks that from the intellectuals with moral consciousness and ethics. Europe demands invention instead of innovation, in the cultural and material production in terms of the philosophy and theory of dematerialisation of the civilisation and liberation of the world of things in favour of the concern for life in Europe.

For cultural Europe against the dictatorship of plutocracy, financial and political oligarchy in 21st century; for democratic instead of autocratic Europe. That is the essence of re-inventing Europe and the world against socialdarwinism in Europe. Re-inventing on Europe “yes”, but based on the culture, instead of setting the foundations based on politics.

Key words: re-inventing, geobiosocial type of understanding of life, giobiopolitical type of understanding of life, integral Europe, culture, politics, economy, sociology of Europe

Croatian:
SlavkoKulić

Naslov: Geobiosocijalni umjesto geobiopolitičkog pristupa ponovnom osmišljavanju Europe
- novi pristup sociologiji Europe

Ponovno osmišljavanje Europe je nužno, ali za cjelovitost života u Europi, na koegzistenciji suživota naroda do sada podijeljene Europe. Umjesto koncepcije suživota političke i financijske oligarhije prostaljinističkog i profašističkog tipa. Europi je potrebno ponovno osmišljavanje poslije rušenja Berlinskog zida i novoizgrađenog Shengenskog zida.

Umjesto ponovnog osmišljavanja unutar geobiopolitičkog tipa razumijevanja opstanka i razvoja života u Europi, nužan je geobiosocijalni tip opstanak i razvoja života. Umjesto zatvorenog tip i antropocentrizma, nužan je otvoren tip Europe s ekocentričnim sustavom vrijednosti: koncepcija suradnje između zemalja Europe umjesto koncepcije sukoba između zemalja u Europi, posebno između naroda zapadne Europe s narodima istočne Europe. Umjesto kolonizacije istočnih zemalja Europe (stari koncept širenja zemalja zapadne Europe), nužna je koegzistencija, suradnja kao temeljni princip evolucije (kulturni, politički, ekonomski, pravni, socijalni, pa i sigurnosni aspekt). Europa je poluotok Azije. Prirodno je ponovno osmišljavanje euroazijske suradnje u svakom pogledu. Osim vrijednosne orijentacije – ekocentrizma u temelju koncepcije suživota naroda u Europi, nužno je i novo poimanje demokracije. Konstruktivna antropologija u edukaciji egzistencije radi. Umjesto procesa ras-čovječavanja u Europi (isključivanje milijuna ljudi iz procesa rada i života u društvu, iz socijaliteta), potreban je proces očovječavanja u Europi – kako istočnoj, tako i u zapadnoj. Ponovno osmišljavanje Europe je nužno za konstrukciju Europe u tom smislu, a ne destrukcije. Istočna Europa ne može biti periferija Zapada Europe u funkciji submisije globalnog sustava kapitalizma, stari koncept u novoj formi.

Ultimativnost napredne Europe prema istočnoj Europi – EU – direktive traže ponovno osmišljavanje, koegzistenciju umjesto kolonizacije (priključivanje zapadnoj Europi, temeljem stečene moći između prvog i dvadeset prvog stoljeća.

To je ozbiljan zahtjev za Europu. Ponovno osmišljavanje Europe da, za novi civilizacijski ustroj Europe, horizontalni umjesto vertikalnog rasporeda moći: novo poimanje demokracije i slobode; konstruktivna umjesto destruktivne antropologije.

Euroacademia se mora osloboditi ograničenog mišljenja: kreacionizma i evolucionizma. Kreacionizam je nepovjerljiv, a evolucionizam je neprihvatljiv jer nudi oblike nasilja kao oblike razvoja. Intelekt Euroacademia-e to može kroz obrazovanje umjesto dosadašnjeg školovanja. Europa to traži od intelektualaca s moralnom sviješću ili etikom. Europa traži invenciju (inventivnost) umjesto inovacija (inovativnost) u kulturnoj i materijalnoj proizvodnji u smislu filozofije i teorije dematerijalizacije civilizacije i oslobođenja od svijeta stvari u prilog brige za život u Europi (protiv prostaljinističke i profašističke oligarhije u Europi).

Za kulturnu Europu protiv diktature plutokracije, financijske i političke oligarhije u XXI stoljeću za demokratsku naspram autokratske Europe. To je suština ponovnog osmišljavanja Europe u kontekstu Euroacademije u Europi i svijetu spram budućnosti sociologije Europe,

protiv socijaldarwinizma u Europi. To bi trebale biti koordinate za ponovno osmišljavanjeEuroacademia-e.

Ključni pojmovi: ponovno osmišljavanje Europe, geobiosocijalni tip razumijevanja života, gebiopolitički tip razumijevanja života, integralna Europa, kultura, politika, ekonomija, sociologija Europe

1. Sociology of Europe-new approach

There is a necessity for re-inventing Europe, as much as in Eastern, as in Western Europe. Great forces (USA and Russia) have divided Europe in two parts. Nations are disunited in doctrinary, philosophical, theoretical, systematic and practical dimensions of life, with the Fall of the Berlin Wall until 1989. and newly built Schengen Wall after the Fall of the Berlin Wall. Both, left and right doctrines have demonstrated to be violent towards life. Until today, Eastern Europe has lived in the left dogmatism armour, whereas Western Europe has lived in the right dogmatism armour. Life of the people of Eastern Europe, until the Fall of the Berlin Wall, was under the influence of the socialism and communism dogmas, under the influence of evolutionism, political determinism, with exclusion of creationism from the public life.

After the Fall of the Berlin Wall, the collaborationism between evolutionism (political determinism) and creationism (religious determinism), with the transition backwards (obsolete relationships) towards refeudalisation of relations happened. New political and financial oligarchy occurred, followed by state corruption (of pro-stalinistic type), with new forms of confrontation towards Western Europe, as protection of space, resources and goods.

Western Europe has remained on old positions, but in the new economical geography and geographical economy, on neoliberal doctrine, on ultimate expansion towards Eastern Europe (EU-Directives), expanding the space for its programme by moving the Schengen Wall towards Eastern Europe and Middle East and Northern Africa. Western Europe's evolutionism (political determinism) has excluded creationism (religious determinism) from the influence on political life of Western Europe. Influence of Christianity in Western Europe became parallel (Catholicism, Protestantism, etc.). Vatican City State still influences the consciousness of people in the sense of restricted thinking and acting.

The consciousness of the people of Western and Eastern Europe is under strong influence of creationism (divided Christianity without ecumenism) and evolutionism (political determinism), with the new confrontation (conflict) without necessary co-existence, without democracy.

Western Europe is organised on the concept of co-existence of political and financial oligarchy, without co-existence of nations, without democracy and social state (it is getting closer towards pro-fascistic type of ruling), but also towards Eastern Europe (pretensions of ultimate expansion), i.e. expansion towards Eastern Europe.

To conclude: in 21st century, Europe is entering into new confrontation, conflict, instead of co-existence, preceded by political and financial oligarchy (plutocracy), conflict instead of cooperation between Western and Eastern Europe. Creationism (religious determinism, churchly oligarchy of divided Christianity without ecumenism) is again, involved into conflict, through collaboration with political oligarchy.

Euroacademia has opened, on time, the need to discuss re-inventing of the sociology of Europe as the whole. Intellect of Europe should influence the future of co-existence of Europe's nations before deeper conflict and division of relationships in Europe. Europe should free itself from limited thinking – creationism and evolutionism, and at the same time, it should oppose the plutocracy with democracy, for co-existence instead of new confrontation, against autocratic way of ruling in Europe. Socialdarwinism in Europe has to be prevailed by means of culture as the key to co-existence of nations in Europe. New approach to the sociology of Europe is inevitable and necessary, with prevailing gebiopolitical type of understanding of life, with affirmation of geobiosocial type of understanding of life in Europe, now and in the future.

Culture is the key to cooperation and precondition for consent about everything what is common to us in the future. Re-inventing Europe as the whole should be looked for in the culture of coexistence of the nations in Europe, instead in politics, because that way leads into conflict, confrontation instead to coexistence. Evolutionism (political determinism) is based on the principle of conflict, on violent forms as developmental forms of life. Violence does not lead towards development, neither Western, nor Eastern Europe. Re-inventing Europe demands higher level of moral consciousness, and also higher level of mutual understanding about coexistence in Europe. Re-inventing Europe needs to be directed in the direction of humanistic instead of darwinistic orientation, against one centre, one state, one political party and one president. That all leads into conflict. Europe needs peace instead war.

2. Two types of understanding of life in Europe

There are two types of understanding of life: gebiopolitical and geobiosocial type of understanding. The gebiopolitical type of understanding of life is the form of the current civilizational order of Europe and of the World; vertical civilizational order and oligocentrism.

2.1 Gebiopolitical type of understanding:

- is based on the conflict as the basic principal of evolution;
- has accepted anthropocentrism as the system of value
- is oriented towards production of things for profit (materialisation)
- has defined profit as a mean against common good, against life and nature.

This type of understanding creates conflict in the chain of life and towards nature; creates relationships of social-darwinism – political-darwinism as social Darwinism as neoliberalism – war for profit in Europe and the World.

Why? Because 80% of modern pathology proceeds from evolutionism as political determinism. 89% of world population belongs to different religions. Only 11% of world population believes in evolutionism. The evolutionism as political determinism holds the world in the subordinated position with the help of the science in two following ways. The first one is expansion of military, political determinism as manumilitarism. The second one is money suspension – financial loan-credit mechanism as manumonetarism. The creationism and evolutionism create essential hypertension on life and human beings. Hypertension impacts blood vessels and heart creating other types of complications in the organism (destroying blood vessels, destroying brain (for example – insult), creating hypertension of the heart, and vascular impotence). Repression from the creationism and evolutionism creates depression. Depression is the source of many civilizational diseases all over Europe and the World. In 2000 years, Europe has spent 470 billion euros on treating somatic brain diseases. In 2010, over 780 billion euros will be spent.

Natural sciences; technical sciences; biotechnical sciences; biomedical sciences as well as social sciences have contributed to the strengthening of the neurological and biological capabilities of homo sapiens sapiens; they have contributed very little to the development of the human dimension of the human kind. We do not have moral science. We must have moral scientist and

moral technologists as ecologists. The scientist and the technologist must be moral individuals; persons with moral consciousness and self-consciousness.

2.1.1. Where is the problem?

Is it in the knowledge, science or in modern technologies?

Problem is in the misuse of knowledge, science and technologies.

Problem is economy of violence; biocidal technologies; climate change and pathology of life.

What is the reason for that?

Pathology of life proceeds from the climate change. Climate change proceeds from biocidal technologies. Biocidal technologies proceed from wrong knowledge. Wrong knowledge proceeds from the deficit of the mind; from the deficit of consciousness of homo sapiens sapiens. Deficit of mind proceeds from the influence of the creationism and evolutionism from the limited freedom of thinking, and limited living conditions for health as natural state of life. Domination of creationism and evolutionism, today as well, limits our perception of life. Inquisition is still present today. It excludes the individual from the society, if his/hers opinion differs.

We don't have moral. We don't have ethics towards life in Europe and in the World.

What is ethics? Ethics is the critic of the science and of the society without moral. The moral is the paradigm of the culture of life. We have to learn the principals of ethics. Globalisation, education and existence proceed from standards of culture of life. Human kind would have to change the mentality in order to live life in accordance with standards of culture of life, both in Europe and in the World.

2.2. Geobiosocial type of understanding

The opposite to the geobiopolitical type of understanding of life is geobiosocial type of understanding of life, with system of values – ecocentrism instead of anthropocentrism. The basic principle of evaluation must be cooperation instead of the conflict. This type of understanding of life demands holistic approach towards human kind and toward nature. The holistic approach and putting the science into standards of the culture and behaviour of human kinds demands high level of understanding of life, towards all kinds of life and towards nature; towards all living beings, in Europe and in the World.

Putting the science into standards of the culture of human kind must proceed from geobiosocial type of understanding of life instead of geobiopolitical type of understanding. Standards of the culture must proceed from the philosophy of dematerialisation of our civilisation in Europe and in the world, instead from the philosophy of materialism in EU and in the world. For the time being, that kind of consciousness is present in the United Kingdom.

Standards of culture proceed from the system of values, system of ecocentrism instead from the system of anthropocentrism. Standard of health proceeds from living conditions, freedom of the

way of living, from the right to work and right for life. Human population has the right for health prevention. Living circumstances are diseased.

Capitalism is not a moral society; capitalism is not a non-moral society – capitalism is amoral society.

Responsibility for re-inventing Eastern Europe proceeds from the impulsion between the EQ, IQ and SQ. Moral or ethics is between EQ and IQ.

Cultural intelligence (SQ) is the goal. It enables cooperation and consensus in Europe.

The future of the better world must proceed from invention instead of from innovation. Inventions, instead of innovations, are the foundation of competitiveness and competence in the international trade. Invention mobilises creative capacity because it presents creation of new and added values in the structure of needs. Invention changes production and consumption structure. Where there is a lack of competence, corruption occurs lawfully – it is the evil of the Western and Eastern Europe.

All problems towards life and nature proceed from our deficit mind, from our deficit of consciousness, from our wrong knowledge, from subspecies of human kind, from violence. That subspecies created the determinism, which impacts and influences towards life and towards nature.

We must understand laws of life. We must know how to live differently, how to live life in a more human way, in Europe and in the World.

I am for better world, for better future of human kind, and existence of all forms of life. I am for the healthier world of the human kind and all living beings.

The process of re-inventing Europe as the whole, demands dialectic approach of evolution of the geobiosocial type of understanding of life instead of the evaluation of geobiopolitical type of understanding of life. Why? Human relationships can not be based on geobiopolitical type of life, on political Darwinism as socialdarwinism without humanism. We need dramatic change in neurology, in the culture of life in Europe. Culture is the key (the goal) to sustaining life in Europe. Culture seeks investment in science and education.

Geobiosocial type of understanding of life is open to freedom and is characterised by cooperation. On the contrary, thegeobiopolitical type of understanding of life is locked-up towards freedom. Creationism and evolutionism create limited opinions and points of view, especially considering life creation. There is no freedom in opinion. Evolutionism and creationism stipulate ways and forms of thinking for the purposes of obtaining a positive school grade.

We must understand: the capitalism is war for profit – permanent war for profit, but not for the better world of human kind in Europe and in the World. Homo sapiens sapiens is the problem for all forms of life. We must be in contact with the reality, in Europe and the world.

Until now, both, the right and the left doctrine have demonstrated their violence, they are destructive towards life and nature, with creationism and evolutionism as forms of limited freedom. We have to change the philosophy of the life and the science.

We have to know the social need for the use of knowledge and the science, in Europe and in the world. We need moral consciousness.

We must be for globalisation of humanism instead of globalisation of violence towards life, towards all forms of life and towards all living beings in Europe and in the World. That is the essence of re-inventing towards Eastern Europe, towards integral Europe, for European federation or confederation, especially for co-existence of life in Europe, without the ultimatum of the most powerful state in Europe, towards all other countries in Europe. We have to be for the cultural Europe, against dictatorship of oligarchy in Europe.

Confrontation relationships inside Western Europe (Merkel-Cameron) warn about that, especially in the occasion of changes to be made in the Lisbon Treaty, the basic constitution of the sociology of Europe. Reconstitution is the necessity for Europe, but it should not be done from the position of individual EU member states' power gained in XXI century.

At the same time, there is a manifestation of the dominance of financial oligarchy over political oligarchy in Europe, which represents a new problem for the sociology of Europe (alliance of plutocracy), a problem for co-existence of nations in Europe. Plutocracy excludes any idea/thought about the existence of the social state in Europe. Euroacademia should develop a project on social aspects of Europe, in cooperation with European Academy of Science and Art and, particularly with the European Science Foundation.

References:

1. Kulić S.: General critics on debt economy – Critics of lease-consciousness (Općakritikadužničkeekonomije – Kritikanajamnesvijesti), Birotehnika: Ekonomskabiblioteka, Zagreb, 1987.
2. Kulić S.: Critical and theoretical retrospection on economic and political structure of new Europe (Kritičkoteorijskiosvrtnaekonomskuipolitičkustrukturunove Europe, IDP, Revijalnoizdanje, Zagreb, 1992.
3. Kulić S.: Strategy of violence as strategy of development (Strategijanasiljakaostrategijarazvoja), “Naprijed”, Zagreb, 1996.
4. Kulić S.: Dialog on nacism and globalisation (Dijalog o nacizmuiglobalizaciji), Zagreb – Salzburg – Paris, “Adamić”, Rijeka, 1998.
5. Kulić S.: What is the consciousness of the modern world? Tolerance of violence and abuse of power in time of peace (Što je to samosvijestsuvremenogsvijeta? Tolerancijanasiljaizlouporabamoći u vrijeme mira), EI, Zagreb, 1999.
6. Kulić S.: Fake dawn of globalisation (Lažnazoraglobalizacije), EI Zagreb, 2000.
7. Kulić S.: Neoliberalism as socialdarwinism (Neoliberalizamkaosocijaldarvinizam), Prometej, Zagreb, 2004.
8. Kulić S.: Modern civilisations and cultures in conflict with life and nature (Suvremenecivilizacijeikulture u sukobusaživotomiprirodom), Profil, Zagreb, 2010.