

*Paper prepared for the
7th Euroacademia International Conference
Re-Inventing Eastern Europe*

Prague, 13 – 14 December 2019

***THIS PAPER IS A DRAFT
PLEASE DO NOT CITE OR CIRCULATE***

Nesting Orientalisms: Case of Hungary, Its Imaginary Occidentalisation Process, and Inconsistencies

Cemre Aydođan, PhD Fellow in the Program of History of Europe at the University of Rome La Sapienza

What is the border between central and eastern Europe? This is an unanswered question in the literature of contemporary European history and politics. In the modern state system, imaginary boundaries are products of the imagined communities, and these boundaries also cause either to occidentalize or to orientalize the lands due to its top-down/elitist imagination procedure. During the Cold War years, rebellions against the USSR are important cases to see the certain demand for Europeanization among people in today's central Europe where especially had the communist legacy. In general, the ultimate goal is to identify themselves as more western among central and eastern European states for the sake of civilizational values of the Enlightenment and to reach today's Neoliberal welfare which is contested. This desire causes *Nesting Orientalisms*, Milica Bakic-Hayden's concept. *Nesting Orientalisms* are about re-constructing new Orient in the same region to hierarchize itself as occidental. Through the process of mapping its location and construction of occidental identity, Hungary defines itself as a part of central Europe. But what are the legitimated reasons of Hungary to define itself as central European instead of eastern Europe? Do these reasons perfectly fit in today's central European formulation and stereotype? What are the possible reasons to reject Hungary's central European self-definition? Moreover, under the shadow of the discussion on central Europe vs. eastern Europe, to what extent does the rise of authoritarianism block ongoing occidentalisation process of Hungary? In this research, I will answer these questions by analyzing modern political history of Hungary by the method of interpretivist process tracing.

Key words: Nesting Orientalisms, Central Europe, Eastern Europe, Hungary, Cold War, Occidentalisation,

Introduction

In the name of the book, *In Search of Central Europe*, (Schöpflin and Wood 1989) the phenomena to separate Europe via invisible borders is clear in immediate post-Cold War atmosphere. This demand can be easily associated with the main motto of the zeitgeist for post-communist states: "return to Europe" (Agh 1999). However, in geographical context, there is just one continent which is called Europe; hence Pandora's box opens: To which Europe do the post-communist *European* states want to return? It is quite obvious that the divisions in Europe are politically constructed due to ideological, economic and social distinctions overtime in the same continent, especially in its modern history. Orientalization and occidentalization processes became daily exercises to re-arrange the borders between western and eastern Europe. Then, where is central Europe?

This is an unanswered question in contemporary history of Europe. For Kundera, "central European identity" is directly related to "culture" and "its own distinctive profile" (Kundera 1989). At this point, it is necessary to indicate that distinctive profile and culture of central European identity is a way to separate central Europeans from "Russians" (Todorova 1996). Hence, "othering" is still core part in the process of the construction of a new identity in post-communist Europe, and the new other is inevitably eastern and repressive one. For Schöpflin, this is also explained as "authentic enough to act as an organizing principle for those seeking something other than Soviet-type reality" (1989). Hence, the identity of central Europe is becoming to closer to the identity of western Europe by a rupture from Russian (communist) legacy.

Therefore, eastern Europe became newly hierarchized backward neighbor of central Europeans. This occidentalization process for the sake of return to Europe is a typical example of Milica Bakic-Hayden's concept, *Nesting Orientalisms* which are about re-constructing new "Orient" in the same region to hierarchize itself as occidental. Orientalized part is not just old communist friends (new foes), also internally orientalized nations, Balkan states, are important to re-write and re-locate central European states in the world politics as more European. For the case of Hungary, it is certainly part of the league of the central Europeans since 1989, and it is a generic example of anti-communist, democratically western and leading figure of Balkan states. Since the collapse of the Empire of Austro-Hungary, visible patterns are the cases for Hungary to classify itself as a central European state, especially after the Cold War. It clearly aimed to be part of the Hellenic past of European culture and an alien of the Russian world. However, despite successful integration in the western league and *Nesting* orientalization process vis-a-vis Russians and eastern European states, especially Balkans, Hungary's ongoing occidentalization is questionable today.

Authoritarian nationalism, corruption, and falsification of history are the current problems of Hungary that block its ongoing occidentalization, and cause to return to "eastern Europe". In this paper, I aim to analyze the grandiose concepts, *Nesting Orientalisms* and *Backwardness*, to define and separate central and eastern Europe to show their constructed features in terms of their meanings. Then, I discuss how, theoretically, the differentiation is seen and how it becomes *Nesting*. And, I will analyze the case of Hungary and its pseudo-occidentalization since 1989 by applying to *Nesting Orientalisms* in the region. Finally, I analyze how today's Hungary demonstrates a certain

degree of backslashing, and how we can locate it as eastern Europe instead of central one. In the last part, I draw main conclusions. In this research, the process of occidentalization of Hungary is traced in its modern history by an interpretivist analysis.

Larry Wolff's concept of "backwardness" in Europe

Larry Wolff's classic book, *Inventing Eastern Europe*, is important to locate the position of imaginary eastern Europe because, as he defines in his book, western Europe needed a "backward" neighbor to be able to occidentalize its modern history. One of the most legitimate reasons of the west to be able to orientalize eastern Europe is about eastern Europe's communist/Russian history. Historically, travelers' diaries also shown to see the differentiation between western and eastern Europe. For example, "Hungary, Wallachia, Bulgaria, or Serbia, eighteenth -century travelers agreed that these otherwise little-known regions were desolate places, engulfed with poverty and misery" (Bakic-Hayden 1995). Modern example of this genre Rebecca West's *Black Lamb Gray Falcon* clearly hierarchies eastern European, especially the ex-Yugoslavian, states by referring to its daily violence and terror. However, criminalization and isolation of the communism is one of the easiest and concrete ways to locate "barbaric" eastern Europeans as "eastern" (Malksoo 2014).

For Neumann, the construction of the identity of other to define Russians is not a new project or naming in the continent of Europe (2008). However, its failed modernization and end of the communist history are thought of as lessons for Russians who need to "learn" from Europe to be successful (Neumann 2008). Hence, Russia and its sphere of influence in Europe are evaluated as the unsuccessful students of the world politics, and they are hierarchized by their successful neighbors. It is also important to indicate that ethnic Slavic identity is referred to demarcate Europe as the west and the east, and Slavism is seen as ethnic reason to hierarchize eastern Europe by referring to their Slavic Russian "brothers" (Suslov 2012). However, like travelers' diaries, this is just a secondary reason to consolidate othering process which is mainly about ideologic differentiation during the Cold War.

Thus, the concept of "backwardness" to create a binary in Europe has a Russian tongue also due to its ideologic influence in its near history and ethnic Slavic ties. However, this dichotomy clearly demonstrates a "contrast" which demarcates the west from eastern Europe. Hence, the anomaly is construction of a third Europe: Central Europe. Radio Free Europe in 1994 "published news in three categories: 'Russia', 'Transcaucasia and Central Asia', and 'Central and Eastern Europe'" (Todorova 1996). Now, we have another Europe which is in the middle of Europe and needed to be differentiated from the eastern one. Before that, 1980s are crucial times to analyze an emergency for the different naming, central Europe, despite the lack of concrete change which would occur in 1989. Central European identity and a certain demand to use it come from a Hungarian historian to define Hungarian's identity neither western nor eastern (Szücs 1983). So, today's small state but also heir of the glorious Austro-Hungarian Empire is one of the leading examples of *Nesting* orientalization in the region.

Nesting Orientalisms: Central Europe vs eastern Europe

Bakic-Hayden defines the concept of *Nesting Orientalisms* in her article during the last times of the Yugoslavian Wars (1995). In her words, "while geographical boundaries of 'Orient' shifted throughout history, the concept of 'Orient' as 'other' has remained more or less unchanged" (Bakic-Hayden 1995). This is a *Nesting* style othering process in the region for the case of the states of the Socialist Yugoslavia while the dissolution. For example, the dichotomy "Balkan state" and "non-Balkan state" between the former Yugoslav states is a way to see how the states that evaluate themselves as "non-Balkan" try to occidentalize themselves via newly constructed rupture from their modern and socialist history. Moreover, the term of *Nesting Orientalisms* can be also used for the similar cases and othering stories. Hungary's demand to be classified as a central European state is an example of this term.

In Szücs's piece, the description of western Europe is related to the dominance of the west over the east culturally, politically, and economically, moreover "Latin Christianity" vs "caesaropapist Orthodoxy" is used to define the hierarchy in Europe (Todorova 1996). Thus, Hungary assumed to be part of the developed west, but this occidentalization process is not easy to be completed overnight for Hungary. Then, the new naming, central European identity, became a positive label for Magyars to facilitate rupture from eastern Europe. For Deak, "Hungary was a bastion of Western Christianity against the pagans, against such Balkan heretics as the Bogomils, against Byzantium, and (beginning in the fifteenth century) against the Muslim Turks" (1992). Hence, from both modern and primordial perspective, story of *Nesting Orientalisms* is inevitable part of Hungarian nation-state formulation.

During the communist regime, the signals to "return to Europe" started to be visible, and the most iconic example of them is Imre Nagy, prime minister of Hungary for two terms during the Cold war, and his rebellion. In 1956 Hungarian revolt against Stalin is a desire for a rupture from communism and enter into western block by refusing the ties between the USSR and Hungary (Benziger 2008). Hence, nor during the era of dissolution of Austro-Hungarian Empire neither 1980s is the initiation of a new identity, central European. The most visible and concrete effort by a state elite, Nagy even in the communist regime. He is an important figure because he is a state elite and

clearly targets a rupture from the USSR for the sake of Europe. Thus, he can be associated with the emergency of central European identity sponsored by the state.

Therefore, deciding on the exact time for a central European identity is not certain in the history of Hungary, but it is quite clear that it is an ongoing aim for Magyars and its most concrete version is the accession period of the EU and full membership. The full membership signifies a total integration to Neo-liberal and western world.

Case of Hungary: Do Magyars belong to which Europe?

Despite the uncertainty on time when the Magyars wanted to be a part of the west and western civilization, as discussed above, the 20th century is crucial to see state sponsored movements on the road of Europe even in the communist regime.

End of the Cold War is a legitimate beginning for the Magyars for a certain and visible rupture from eastern Europe. The Round Table process for Hungary is important for internalization and settlement of democratic principles because however the system is designed at the beginning, it will try to conserve its first structure (Lijparth 1992). Although the election system is not designed to protect the rights of minorities and does not aim a total minority inclusion, a parliamentary system welcomes different political parties (Ibid).

Next steps were institutionally integration to the west, and the EU became an ultimate goal for the Magyars to reach the welfare of the west as soon as possible. Hence, democracy and integration to the west mean “immediate access to Western levels of prosperity” (Schöpflin 1994). Agenda Hungary (1997) is a clear summary of Hungarian accession period since the end of the Cold War to the west, and for Agh “elites are much more interested in European integration than the masses with their particular short-term interests” (1999). Moreover, as Kopecky and Mudde stated “the Hungarian elite has become less Europhile over the years and, in particular, the last government had a somewhat strained relationship with the EU” (2002). However, the total accession to the EU in 2004 is successful completion of a task on the road of the west and rupture from eastern Europe despite the fluctuating relations during the accession period between the EU and elites (enlargement fatigue vs Euro-fatigue).

After institutional integration, it was time for the social integration for Magyars in the west, and the crucial part is the memory of this small and formerly communist state. Imre Nagy turned into a public hero, and his monument eradicated in front of the Hungarian parliament in Budapest (BBC 2018). *Terror Haza*, Terror House is a Hungarian museum, was founded to negatively commemorate the communist history of Hungary in 2002. Moreover, Holocaust Memorial Center was founded in 2004 to commemorate Holocaust in Hungary which is in accordance with the collective memory of the EU.

However, despite the full membership and mnemonic integration to the west for a central European identity and to be a part of western welfare, Hungary re-arranged its façon and changed its direction under the Fidesz government, especially since its victory in 2010. Now, there is an ontological rupture in Hungary’s aim for a more European identity in its modern history.

Fidesz and return to “eastern Europe”

Despite the efforts of integration to the EU by Fidesz, a Magyar political party founded in 1988 and rose to power since 2010 in the parliament, in the current politics, there is a clear desire to refer to another Europe which is neither central nor western one (Kreko and Enyedi 2018). What it is interesting in this context that the norms of the west (interchangeable the EU) are alienated and labeled as enemies of Fidesz-based definition of Europe (Ibid).

This sharp change can be interconnected to several reasons in the modern politics of Hungary. First one is about emergence of a *mafia state* in the period of Fidesz and consolidation of the oligarchs of Fidesz (Magyar 2016). One of the crucial examples of the Fidesz’s oligarchs is the monopoly over tobacco by an oligarch all around Hungary (Yavuz 2019). Also, clientelism (Ibid) became an inevitable part of Hungarian elections, and illiberal democracy and its victory are clearly observable in Victor Orban’s Hungary, the prime minister of Hungary.

Even in the domain of the memory politics, there is a direct attempt to falsify the history which is not in accordance with the standards of the EU style (collective) memory. For example, in the *Terror Haza*, the communist history is totally distorted, and there is no room to face Hungarian Nazi history. For the sake of the consolidated relations with Russia, Imre Nagy’s monument was replaced overnight, and it was exiled from its popular location (BBC 2018).

Moreover, there are current cases that remind us of neo-Nazi movements, such as attack against an LGBTQI+ friendly pub, expulsion of the Central European University, founded a Jewish millionaire, and strong opposition to receive the refugees. These are important empirical evidences to see the rise of fascism in current Hungary, and also a clear rupture from the norms and identity of Europe. Despite the rise of fascism in Europe, Fidesz case is different

because Fidesz rose to political power and clearly attack and alienate the idea of the EU to defend the cultural norms of Hungary (Pytlas 2013).

Hence, an effort for the sake of westernization is interrupted by Fidesz in Hungary in a very sharp discourse and mobilization. This definitely destroys the central European identity of Hungary that was aimed to be obtained especially in the 20th century even in the days of the communist regime. Hence, the embedded backwardness emerged in Hungary, and it could be evaluated as a “return to eastern Europe”.

Conclusion

In this paper, I aim to analyze the binary between central and eastern Europe. From the historical perspective, the idea of central Europeanness turned into a way to be part of the western civilization and the welfare. That's why there is a clear aim to alienate and other the backward and ideologically remnant of communist part of Europe.

To demonstrate *Nesting Orientalisms* in the region to complete their occidentalization process, I selected the case of Hungary and its *Nesting* orientalization process vis-à-vis eastern Europe. It reached the peak with the collapse of communism, and the final stage was the total accession to the EU for Magyars to be part of the civilized world.

However, current ruling party, Fidesz, and its direct effort for a rupture from the dream of western Europe is clearly traced. The rise of authoritarian nationalism and fascism in Hungary cause an uncertainty to map its imagery location. It directly others western Europe and the EU and demonstrates a clear rebellion to defend barbaric and Nazi-based cases. Hence, there is a contrast mapping on behalf of Hungary now, and in which Europe we can locate Hungary is questionable now.

The Author

Cemre Aydoğan is a PhD fellow at the University of Rome La Sapienza in the program of History of Europe. She completed her master's degree at Central European University in International Relations in Hungary. In her master's thesis, she analyzed ethnically minority parties and how they evolve as inclusionary parties in democracies. Before that, she completed her bachelor's degree at the Middle East Technical University in International Relations in Turkey. Currently, she focuses on memory politics, ethnicity and nationalism in central and eastern Europe. She writes her dissertation on Yugonostalgia among different ethnic groups in Bosnia-Herzegovina to analyze the importance of the socialist identity and daily effects of Neo-liberal transition period in a specific state of the ex-Yugoslavia over ethnic identities.

References

- Agh, A. “Europeanization of policy-making in East Central Europe: the Hungarian approach to EU accession.” *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1999. Vol. 6, No. 5. pp. 1-24.
- Bakic-Hayden, M. “Nesting Orientalisms: The Case of Former Yugoslavia.” *Slavic Review*. 1995. Vol. 54, No. 4. pp. 917-931.
- Benziger, K. P. *Imre Nagy, Martyr of the Nation: Contested History, Legitimacy, and Popular Memory in Hungary*. 2008. UK: Lexington Books.
- Deak, I. “Hungary.” *The American Historical Review*. 1992. Vol. 97, No. 4. pp. 1041-1063.
- “Hungary removes statue of anti-Soviet hero Imre Nagy.” *BBC*. December 28, 2018. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-46704111>. Access Date November 15, 2019.
- Kopecky, P. and Mudde, C. “The Two Sides of Euroscepticism: Party Positions on European Integration in East Central Europe.” *European Union Politics*. 2002. Vol. 3, No. 3. pp. 297-326.
- Kreko, P. and Enyedi, Z. “Explaining Eastern Europe: Orban's Laboratory of Illiberalism.” *Journal of Democracy*. 2018. Vol. 29, No. 3. pp. 39-51.
- Lijphart, A. “Democratization and Constitutional Choices in Czecho-Slovakia, Hungary, and Poland, 1989-1991.” *Journal of Theoretical Politics*. 1992. Vol. 4, No. 2. pp. 5-19.
- Magyar, B. *Post-Communist Mafia State: The Case of Hungary*. 2016. Budapest: Central European University Press.
- Malksoo, M. “Criminalizing Communism: Transnational Mnemopolitics in Europe.” *International Political Sociology*. 2014. Vol. 8, No. 1. pp. 82-99.
- “Milan Kundera's Lament.” in Schopflin and Wood, eds., *In Search of Central Europe*. p. 140.
- Neumann, I. B. “Russia as Europe's other.” *Journal of Area Studies*. 2008. Vol. 6, No. 12. pp. 26-73.
- Pytlas, B. “Radical-right narratives in Slovakia and Hungary: historical legacies, mythic overlaying and contemporary politics.” *Patterns of Prejudice*. 2013. Vol. 47, No. 2. pp. 162-183.
- Schöpflin, G. “Postcommunism: The Problems of Democratic Construction” *Daedalus*. 1994. Vol. 123, No. 3. pp. 127-141.
- Schöpflin, G. and Wood, N. *In Search of Central Europe*. 1989. USA: Barnes and Noble Books.

Suslov, M. "Geographical Metanarratives in Russia and the European East: Contemporary Pan-Slavism." *Eurasian Geography and Economics*. 2012. Vol. 5, No. 5. pp. 575-595.

Szücs, J. "The Three Historical Regions of Europe: An Outline." *Acta Historica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*. 1983. Vol. 29, No. 2/4. pp. 131-184.

Todorova, M. *Hierarchies of Eastern Europe: East-Central Europe versus the Balkans*. 1996. Washington DC: Woodrow Wilson Center.

West, R. *Black Lamb Gray Falcon: A Journey Through Yugoslavia*. 1942. London: Canongate Press.

Wolff, L. *Inventing Eastern Europe: The Map of Civilization on the Mind of the Enlightenment*. 1994. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Yavuz, M. *Western linkage vs. illiberalism: de-democratization in Hungary and Turkey*. 2019. Unpublished master's thesis. Central European University. Budapest, Hungary. pp. 1-68.